

APCD/AQMD RULE EVALUATION FORM -- Page 1
(Electronic Format)

I. GENERAL INFORMATIONDistrict: Placer County Air Pollution Control DistrictRule No(s): 503 Date adopted/Amended/Rescinded: August 12, 2010Rule Title(s): Emission StatementDate Submitted to ARB: September 7, 2010If an Amended Rule, Date Last Amended (or Adopted): September 21, 1993Is the Rule Intended to be Sent to the U.S. EPA as a SIP Revision? ☒ Yes ☐ No (If No, do not complete remainder of form)District Contact: Don Duffy Phone Number: (530) 745-2336 E-mail Address: dduffy@placer.ca.govNarrative Summary of New Rule or Rule Changes: ☐ New Rule ☒ Amended Rule

This rule details how sources who emit 10 tons per year or more of NOx or VOC in the ozone nonattainment area report their annual emissions. This reporting is a Federal Clean Air Act requirement. The old rule described an outdated reporting system based on the old ARB Emission Turn Around Document. The rule is amended to describe the District's current emissions reporting system using the Renewal Information Request.

Pollutant(s) Regulated by the Rule (Check): ☐ ROG ☐ (NOx) ☐ SO2
☐ (CO) ☐ PM ☐ TAC (name): _____**II. EFFECT ON EMISSIONS**

Complete this section ONLY for rules that, when implemented, will result in quantifiable changes in emissions. Attach reference(s) for emission factor(s) and other information. Attach calculation sheet showing how the emission information provided below was determined.

Net Effect on Emissions: ☐ Increase ☐ Decrease ☒ N/AEmission Reduction Commitment in SIP for this Source Category: N/A

Inventory Year Used to Calculate Changes in Emissions: _____ Area Affected: _____

Future Year Control Profile Estimate (Provide information on as many years as possible):

APCD/AQMD RULE EVALUATION FORM -- Page 2
(Electronic Format)

Baseline Inventory in the SIP for the Control Measure: _____

Emissions Reduction Commitment in the SIP for the Control Measure: _____

Revised Baseline Inventory (if any): _____

Revised Emission Reduction Estimate (if developed): _____

*Note that the district's input to the Rule Evaluation Form will not be used as input to the ARB's emission forecasting and planning.***III. SOURCES/ATTAINMENT STATUS**District is: ☐ Attainment ☐ Nonattainment ☒ SplitApproximate Total Number of Small (<100 TPY) Sources Affected by this Amendment: 11Percent in Nonattainment Area: 100%Number of Large (\geq 100 TPY) Sources Controlled: 3 Percent in Nonattainment Area: 100%Name(s) and Location(s) (city and county) of Large (\geq 100 TPY) Sources Controlled by Rule (Attach additional sheets as necessary): Sierra Pacific Industries, Lincoln; SierraPine, Rocklin; Rio Bravo, Lincoln.**IV. EMISSION REDUCTION TECHNOLOGY**Does the Rule Include Emission Limits that are Continuous? ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, Those Limits are in Section(s) _____ of the Rule.

Other Methods in the Rule for Achieving Emission Reductions are: _____

V. OTHER REQUIREMENTS

The Rule Contains:

Emission Limits in Section(s): N/A Work Practice Standards in Section(s): N/ARecordkeeping Requirements in Section(s): N/A Reporting Requirements in Section(s): 301

APCD/AQMD RULE EVALUATION FORM -- Page 3
(Electronic Format)

VI. IMPACT ON AIR QUALITY PLAN

☒ No Impact ☐ Impacts RFP ☐ Impacts attainment

Discussion: _____